

1 -----X

2 BOARD MEETING OF THE WATER AUTHORITY

3 OF GREAT NECK NORTH

4 -----X

5

6 50 Watermill Lane
7 Great Neck, New York
8 Held via Zoom
9 January 10, 2022
10 6:00 P.M.

11

12 **TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS**

13

14 **A P P E A R A N C E S:**

15

16 Michael C. Kalnick, Chairperson, Village of Kings Point

17 Carol Frank, Director, Town of North Hempstead

18 Jay Johneas, Director, Village of Great Neck

19 Dan Levy, Director, Village of Saddle Rock

20 Dana Lustbader, MD, Director, Village of Kensington

21 Gerry Schneiderman, Director, Village of Great Neck Plaza (N/P)

22 Michael Smiley, Director, Village of Great Neck Estates

23 Steven Weinberg, Director, Village of Thomaston

24

25

26 The meeting was held pursuant to NY State legislation adopted on September 1, 2021, which,
27 until January 15, 2022, in substance, permits public corporations to hold meetings and take
28 actions without in-person access to the meeting provided that the public has the ability to
29 view or listen to such meeting and the meeting is transcribed.

1 ALSO PRESENT:

2

3 From the **Water Authority of Great Neck North:**

4 Ralph J. Kreitzman, Vice-Chairperson

5 Robert Graziano, Deputy Chairperson

6

7 Greg Graziano, Superintendent

8 Michael Rispoli, Assistant Superintendent

9 Sue Huang, Civil Engineer

10 Adam Solow, Supervisor of Water Plant Operations

11 Judith Flynn, Treasurer

12 Debra Ray, Secretary

13

14 Stephen, Limmer, Counsel, McLaughlin & Stern, LLP

15 Gary Stuart, Associate, CDM Smith, Consulting Engineers

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MAYOR KALNICK: Approval of the December Minutes?
2 DIRECTOR LEVY: I move to adopt the minutes.
3 [Res. #22-01-01]
4 MAYOR KALNICK: Seconded?
5 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Seconded.
6 MAYOR KALNICK: All in favor?
7 (Whereupon all members responded in the
8 affirmative.)
9 MAYOR KALNICK: Any abstentions?
10 (No response.)
11 MAYOR KALNICK: Approval of the Abstract of
12 Claims? [Res. #22-01-02]
13 DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move.
14 MAYOR KALNICK: Seconded.
15 DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.
16 MAYOR KALNICK: All in favor?
17 (Whereupon all members responded in the
18 affirmative.)
19 MAYOR KALNICK: Any abstentions?
20 (No response.)
21 MAYOR KALNICK: Judy, Treasurer's Report.
22 Anything unusual?
23 MS. FLYNN: No, nothing unusual.
24 MAYOR KALNICK: Motion to accept?
25 DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move. [Res. #22-01-03]

1 MAYOR KALNICK: Seconded?

2 DIRECTOR WEINBERG: Second.

3 MAYOR KALNICK: All in favor?

4 (Whereupon all members responded in the
5 affirmative.)

6 MAYOR KALNICK: Any abstentions?

7 (No response.)

8 MAYOR KALNICK: Update on COVID.

9 Judy, you're going to handle this?

10 MS. FLYNN: Sure. So the Department of
11 Public Service issued guidance on December 17th
12 related to service disconnections, liens and
13 deferred payment agreements.

14 And basically we need to re-offer the
15 deferred payment agreement to customers who
16 have experienced financial hardship due to COVID
17 but they also are
18 requiring us to extend the amount of time that
19 we give people to pay the bill.

20 So currently we send a bill and the customers
21 have 30 days to pay it. Then we send an urgent
22 notice and they have another additional 18 days.
23 Then we send a red card, and at that point we
24 charge a fee and they have 15 days to pay the
25 bill in full.

1 So what the regulations are asking us to do
2 until June 30th, instead of giving the customers
3 18 days on the urgent notice, is to extend that to
4 30 days.

5 And when we went to finalize everything, we
6 realized in the rules and regs we don't ever
7 mention the urgent notice so we decided that we
8 would update the rules and regs for the regular
9 urgent notice policy and then extend it for any
10 special situations like we have today.

11 MAYOR KALNICK: So we need a motion to
12 adopt the amendment to the rules and regs as circulated to the
13 Directors prior to the meeting.

14 DIRECTOR LEVY: Motion to adopt.[Res. #22-01-04]

15 DIRECTOR SMILEY: Second.

16 MS. FLYNN: Steve sent it out.

17 (Whereupon there was a discussion held off
18 the record.)

19 MAYOR KALNICK: All in favor?

20 (Whereupon all members responded in the
21 affirmative.)

22 MAYOR KALNICK: Any abstentions?

23 (No response.)

24 (Whereupon there was a discussion held off
25 the record.)

1 MAYOR KALNICK: Gary, Engineering Status
2 Report.

3 MR. STUART: Good evening everybody.
4 We submitted the report. It's in the board
5 packet.

6 I would be happy to answer any questions you
7 may have on the report.

8 (No response.)

9 MAYOR KALNICK: No questions, okay.

10 DIRECTOR LEVY: I keep getting parts on the
11 EFC.

12 How much have we billed them so far? How
13 much are we in the process of billing them? I
14 just want to get it over.

15 MS. FLYNN: Are you referring to the grant?

16 DIRECTOR LEVY: Yes. The loan?

17 MS. FLYNN:

18 We are almost completely done with the loan.

19 I think we have -- I don't have the numbers
20 right in front of me, I can send you an e-mail
21 tomorrow -- but we have very little left. I
22 think it's less than \$10,000 left on the loan
23 amount.

24 DIRECTOR LEVY: What about the grant? We
25 got all the money that's due to us?

1 MS. FLYNN: Yes.

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. So the extension is
3 really hitting us then.

4 MS. FLYNN: What extension? Is there
5 something on here now?

6 DIRECTOR LEVY: Extension on those old 30s.

7 MR. STUART: That was last June, they granted
8 a year extension.

9 DIRECTOR LEVY: To us it's really
10 meaningless.

11 MR. STUART: Correct. But it was June 2021
12 and they extended it.

13 DIRECTOR LEVY: Thank you.

14 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: We still have to
15 spend the \$10,000.

16 DIRECTOR LEVY: Do you want me to be in
17 charge of that?

18 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: It's all called for
19 already but it's not spent. It's part of
20 finishing those projects at Wells 6 and 8.

21 MAYOR KALNICK: Greg?

22 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: First item is the
23 Annual Performance Review for Year Ending 2021,
24 2.2-a, it's in your digital board packet. It's three
25 pages and it goes through

1 performance measures, residents and rate payers,
2 people that use the services, employees and bond
3 holders, et cetera. So we continue to meet all
4 the obligations. We are required to meet all
5 the performance goals, all the policies in
6 place, nondiscrimination, et cetera.

7 The Board is continuing to, along with Water
8 Authority staff, work with the Engineer insuring
9 that we have water for today but also water for
10 the future and being proactive with treatment,
11 et cetera, so we meet all their requirements of
12 the mission statement and performance
13 measurements.

14 Any questions on it?

15 DIRECTOR LEVY: No. Do you want us to print
16 our own copy of the confidential
17 evaluation or are you going to send us and we
18 will send you back?

19 MAYOR KALNICK: I think Steve is going to
20 discuss the procedure, correct?

21 MR. LIMMER: My suggestion is to do it the
22 same way we did it last year. If we send out
23 the questionnaire with two envelopes; one is a
24 return envelope with your name on the outside in
25 which you would put an envelope that just says

1 "questionnaire" without any identification.

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: Just like the ballot, okay.

3 MR. LIMMER: Yes, just like you are
4 sending in an absentee ballot. Then Debra will
5 know when she gets everybody's in, there would
6 only be seven, we're not going to try to get one
7 from Gerry.

8 So we would have seven. When she has all
9 seven back, and she would know from the outside
10 envelopes who hasn't sent it, then she will open
11 up all seven envelopes, take out, mix together
12 the unidentified envelopes and open up those and
13 take out the questionnaires.

14 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

15 MAYOR KALNICK: Greg?

16 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Next item is
17 switching labor attorneys. We have been using
18 David Wirtz for many years but I would like to
19 make a change to Coughlin & Gerhart, LLP.

20

21 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. Why did you go all the
22 way to Binghamton?

23 MAYOR KALNICK: I'm the culprit. It was my
24 recommendation. We started -- we switched from
25 the prior attorney who you would not appreciate

1 his billing practices at all.

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

3 MAYOR KALNICK: And we switched to
4 Binghamton. And he handles our police
5 negotiations.

6 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

7 MAYOR KALNICK: Excellent, excellent response
8 from him. Always available, reasonable prices
9 and knowledgeable, which you would want in an
10 attorney, if you will.

11 DIRECTOR LEVY: I go with it. I trust you.

12 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: It's Ralph.

13 I have one suggestion: They plan to bill us
14 for travel expenses. Seems to me they shouldn't
15 charge us if they have to come down here to us.

16 MAYOR KALNICK: We can talk to him about
17 that. A lot of what we would be doing is most
18 likely improbable in that regard.

19 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: In today's world
20 especially, yes.

21 MR. LIMMER: The travel expenses, I have
22 them in all my retainers but I have yet to travel
23 anywhere for a client, so having it in there is
24 pretty standard. You can put in there that
25 there will be no travel expenses without prior

1 approval from the Authority. That would protect
2 you.

3 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: My only comment was
4 we would normally hire someone local, we're
5 going upstate, they shouldn't charge us, but
6 it's not a big deal.

7 MR. LIMMER: Just one thing which Greg was
8 going to mention, because of the constant
9 changes with COVID, with COVID policies coming
10 out, Greg thought, and I agreed, that we really
11 had to get somebody on to assist us right away,
12 so basically what is being asked is that the
13 Board ratify not only the agreement, but payment
14 for the services provided prior to tonight.

15 DIRECTOR LEVY: You already used the firm?

16 MAYOR KALNICK: We have, on COVID,
17 establishing a new policy which we can talk
18 about in Executive Session.

19 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

20 MR. LIMMER: If you don't approve the agreement,
21 he doesn't get paid.

22 DIRECTOR LEVY: Somebody needs to get paid. The

23 MR. LIMMER: Chairperson and Greg would like you to
24 ratify the agreement and the work that was done
25 during the last few weeks.

1 MAYOR KALNICK: This is a follow-up. I think
2 Dana had raised the issue via e-mail that we
3 have to be flexible. First of all, update our
4 policy and be flexible, also deal with the issue
5 of payments to people who may, in other
6 determination, violate the policy.

7 DIRECTOR WEINBERG: Right, Steve? He
8 doesn't want to offer it as incentive to get the
9 vaccination?

10 (Whereupon there was a discussion held off
11 the record.)

12 MR. LIMMER: What, what would really
13 work best --

14 MAYOR KALNICK: When lawyers talk about
15 fees, they stutter.

16 MR. LIMMER: It's not even my fees.
17 What the Board -- I think what would work
18 best because of this craziness with CDC and the
19 state and the county and everybody's different
20 requirements is basically to permit Greg to
21 amend the policy with regard to how we are
22 treating people who test positive, who are
23 exposed to COVID, who are out with COVID based
24 on the then-existing CDC guidelines and then
25 reporting back to the Board where things stand

1 because otherwise if we put something fixed in
2 place, either he may be violating the policy or
3 violating CDC guidelines. It puts him in a
4 difficult position on handling the staff and how
5 he is treating everyone.

6 DIRECTOR FRANK: It's too fluid.

7 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Steve, maybe
8 if the Board could -- for me to do it in
9 conversation with the new labor attorney just so
10 we are all, you know, through advisement through
11 the new labor attorney.

12 DIRECTOR LEVY: Why do we have to pay so
13 many legal fees as these things change over the
14 month or two?

15 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: If it's simplistic
16 in nature, no, I agree. But I just don't want
17 it coming directly only back to me making the
18 policy change. If I just confer with this labor
19 attorney, he says yes, he reads it the same way
20 and yes, it's legal to make that change, I would
21 feel a little more comfortable than just --
22 Again, I can't tell you, Dan, every example,
23 but some of the stuff has come out from the CDC
24 has been different from the New York State. New
25 York State then catches up, then the CDC comes

1 out with something new, then New York State
2 catches up.

3 But as I am sure you are well aware, the
4 State doesn't have to do what the CDC says. The
5 State could be less stringent, more stringent,
6 do we get into a labor issue, a grievance?
7 Before we wind up in a grievance and we wind up
8 in court with the union, I would rather, if I
9 am not sure, have the ability to just check with the
10 labor attorney to make sure however we are
11 adjusting the policy should win in a challenge.
12 That's all I'm saying.

13 MR. LIMMER: I am not a labor attorney.
14 The person we are dealing with is a labor
15 attorney. I mean he is aware of what New York
16 City is doing, the Department of Labor has taken
17 a stance with regard to how things should be
18 handled differently from the CDC, different from
19 the state.

20 So you're not paying duplicate attorneys'
21 fees. I am embarrassed to say his fees are
22 lower than mine so you are actually saving money
23 if he is doing it. He knows what he is doing.

24 So it's a necessary expense at this point.
25 It's a legitimate concern you have, but it is a

1 necessary expense. If we end up in litigation
2 with the union, it's going to cost a lot more
3 than a couple hours for his advice.

4 MR. GRAZIANO: We could be paying more than
5 we have to because we are dealing with old
6 recommendations. Because some of the new
7 recommendations that have been coming out are
8 reducing the time that needs to be spent away.

9 MR. LIMMER: And there are issues if somebody
10 is out more than three, four or five times a
11 year who hasn't been vaccinated and are they
12 going to get paid each time they go out? There
13 is a three-time limit in, I think it's the city
14 now. Whether or not that's legitimate -- or the
15 Department of Labor, I think it was the
16 Department of Labor which may or may not be
17 legitimate but, you know, he is going to advise
18 you as to whether or not he thinks you should
19 follow it. So, you know, it's money well spent.

20 MR. GRAZIANO: He has been advising us
21 on how to separate vaccinated from unvaccinated
22 to see if we can come up with two different ways
23 of handling that and to encourage people to
24 become vaccinated.

25 MAYOR KALNICK: Also not to have to pay

1 somebody who opted not to be vaccinated.

2 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: For instance,
3 not that it matters because it should matter all
4 the way, Michael likes them, I have spoken to
5 them numerous times, I seem to like him at this
6 point but David Wirtz, our previous attorney,
7 I should say, the 2021 rate was \$515 an hour. I
8 had Judy go back, we very rarely used him, very
9 rarely. She went back and looked and every time
10 he billed it was at his partner rate, it was
11 never a paralegal or anything else.

12 This new person, partners are \$275 per hour;
13 \$225 to \$240 for associates and \$190 for
14 paralegals. So we are almost halving the rate
15 too and hoping and believing that we are going
16 to get better service.

17 MR. GRAZIANO: Binghamton rate.

18 MAYOR KALNICK: Overhead is lower there.

19 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: It's true.

20 DIRECTOR FRANK: Then we are going to be
21 moving at some point into more requirements or
22 not about boosters.

23 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes. OSHA
24 has a guidance document for private employees
25 100 or more. This new attorney believes PESH is

1 going to come out with their own which is for
2 Public Employees Municipalities that would cover
3 all. So he is watching that at the same time as
4 helping to craft the newest policy moving
5 forward so we can be as adaptable as possible
6 moving forward.

7 DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Greg, how would you
8 say we are doing right now in terms of our work
9 force? Are we in an urgent situation or crisis
10 situation or do you have plenty of workers?

11 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So this is what
12 precipitated this. This should probably go in
13 Executive Session.

14 DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Okay.

15 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Because we did
16 run into an issue last week and the week before.

17 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. Because that also may
18 inform whether you follow CDC guidelines or not,
19 your know, depending on our workforce needs for
20 this critical infrastructure.

21 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Correct. So if we
22 get a vote --

23 MR. GRAZIANO: That's an interesting point.

24 DIRECTOR LEVY: Don't we need to adopt this?

25 MAYOR KALNICK: Yes, we need a resolution to

1 approve the retainer and the prior work.

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: I move to adopt this company.

3 DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.[Res.# 21-01-05]

4 MAYOR KALNICK: All in favor?

5 Whereupon all members responded in the affirmative.

6 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Also, Michael,
7 another resolution to authorize me in
8 conjunction with the labor attorney to adopt the
9 policy as needed.

10 MAYOR KALNICK: To amend the policy as
11 needed?

12 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes.

13 MAYOR KALNICK: And adopt.

14 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes, amend.

15 MAYOR KALNICK: Just in case. A motion to
16 approve then?

17 DIRECTOR LEVY: We are going to adopt the
18 policy before we talk about it?

19 DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: So Greg can make policy
20 changes as needed.

21 DIRECTOR LEVY: Without the Board acting on
22 it?

23 MR. LIMMER: Dan, the problem is you meet
24 once a month. Let's say COVID says that if
25 somebody is not vaccinated and they are out more

1 than five days, you don't have to pay them. You
2 want to wait a month in order to keep paying
3 them until then?

4 DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Nope.

5 DIRECTOR LEVY: No.

6 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: We will talk
7 about it in executive session, Dan, you'll hear
8 what happened last week.

9 MAYOR KALNICK: You're honing in on the
10 issues.

11 DIRECTOR LEVY: I assumed something
12 triggered this. I got it.

13 MAYOR KALNICK: Dana, you move. Dan seconds,
14 authorization for Greg?

15 All in favor? [Res. #22-01-06]

16 (Whereupon all members responded in the
17 affirmative.

18 MAYOR KALNICK: Any abstentions?

19 (No response.)

20 (Whereupon there was a discussion held off
21 the record.)

22 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Last item I
23 have is update on Watermill Lane.

24 The AOP project is moving along very well. The
25 weather has been, other than last Friday, it's

1 been very cooperative. They finished doing the
2 piles. All the piles have been driven, they
3 have all been capped. The cutting in of the
4 16-inch and 20-inch water main lines have been
5 completed. They are working on the basement
6 slab piping, form work for basement slab, some
7 additional Rebar and they have been doing some
8 additional electrical work.

9 But the project so far to date is moving as
10 well as we could hope and on schedule. So it's
11 moving along and they are doing a phenomenal job
12 keeping Watermill Lane clean and not letting
13 the -- we have had quite a bit of rain and they
14 continue to work through the rain and they are
15 not tracking with all their trucks, et cetera,
16 all the mud on to Watermill Lane. They have a nice
17 gravel pad set up, and then they
18 clean the road daily. So we are very happy with
19 the contractor so far.

20 MAYOR KALNICK: Governance Committee.
21 Michael?

22 DIRECTOR SMILEY: Do I have to read this
23 entire letter to everybody?

24 MAYOR KALNICK: No, we read it. We all are
25 familiar with it.

1 DIRECTOR SMILEY: I move to accept this
2 letter and everything is going well with the
3 Water Authority right now. [Res. 22-01-07]

4 DIRECTOR LEVY: I second that.

5 MAYOR KALNICK: Motion?

6 (Whereupon all members responded in the
7 affirmative.)

8 MAYOR KALNICK: Any abstentions?

9 (No response.)

10 (Whereupon there was a discussion held off
11 the record.)

12 MAYOR KALNICK: Michael, that was both A
13 and B, 2.3?

14 DIRECTOR SMILEY: Hold on a second. I keep
15 getting lost in my PDF.

16 MAYOR KALNICK: One is the
17 acceptance of the Annual Report, the other was
18 annual review of policy?

19 DIRECTOR SMILEY: Yes.

20 MAYOR KALNICK: So we basically have two
21 motions and two seconds. [Res. 22-01-08]

22 DIRECTOR LEVY: 700 pages of policies, yes.

23 DIRECTOR SMILEY: Point of order is at page
24 475 at the bottom -- no, all good.

25 MAYOR KALNICK: Next, motion to go into

1 Executive Session. [Res. #22-01-09]

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move.

3 DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.

4 (Whereupon the members went into Executive
5 Session from 6:23 P.M. to 7:12 P.M.)

6 MR. LIMMER: The Authority will not charge
7 any non-third-parties costs; one to local fire
8 companies for the licenses for their antennas
9 that are on the Authority's facilities since those
10 antennas directly benefit the Authority by the
11 protection of the Authority's facilities; and
12 two, to the municipalities served by the
13 Authority since those costs would ultimately
14 have to be paid by the Authority's customers.

15 And that would be the resolution if you want
16 to do that.

17 MAYOR KALNICK: Dan, do you want to move it?

18 DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move it.

19

20 MAYOR KALNICK: Seconded?

21 DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.

22 MAYOR KALNICK: All in favor?

23 MR. LIMMER: That didn't include police. Do
24 you want to include the police as well?

25 DIRECTOR LEVY: Not until we resolve the

1 issue with them.

2 MR. LIMMER: So it's just for the fire
3 companies --

4 MAYOR KALNICK: Steve, Nassau County Police.
5 Village Police.

6 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Not Nassau County.

7 MR. LIMMER: Right now it's -- you wanted
8 local fire companies and local police forces?

9 DIRECTOR LEVY: Well local police forces fall
10 under the villages.

11 MR. LIMMER: Right now let's -- we don't have
12 to do anything right now for Kings Point because
13 they are not paying anything and nobody else is
14 up there, is there?

15 MAYOR KALNICK: Great Neck Estates.

16 DIRECTOR LEVY: Kensington.

17 MAYOR KALNICK: You can put in village police
18 departments.

19 MR. LIMMER: So the local fire companies and
20 Village Police Departments.

21 MAYOR KALNICK: Correct, correct.

22 MR. LIMMER: So it would read this way. The
23 resolution is: "Since the Authority is not
24 charging the fire companies the administrative
25 costs for their antennas, the Board --

1 DIRECTOR LEVY: Steve, it's not just about
2 the antennas. We talked about the general
3 application for service. Has nothing to do with
4 the antennas.

5 MR. LIMMER: Listen to this
6 for a second: The Authority will not charge any
7 non-third-party costs to the local fire companies
8 and Village Police Departments for the licenses
9 for their antennas at Authority
10 facilities since those antennas directly benefit
11 the Authority by the protection of the
12 Authority's facilities; and two, the
13 municipalities served by the Authority, since
14 those costs would ultimately have to be paid by
15 the Authority's customers."

16 DIRECTOR LEVY: That's wonderful but that's
17 not what we were talking about. I wasn't
18 talking about antennas, I was talking about
19 application for service.

20 MR. LIMMER: No, no, no. For the police and
21 the fire it's just the antennas.

22 DIRECTOR LEVY: But the issue started with
23 the Village of Great Neck complaining that --

24 MR. LIMMER: No, no, no. For the
25 municipalities it's not limited to the licenses,

1 it's just they are not going to charge any
2 third-party costs -- the municipalities served
3 by the Authority.

4 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

5 MR. LIMMER: Since those costs would
6 ultimately have to be paid by the Authority's
7 customers.

8 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

9 MR. LIMMER: It doesn't refer to antennas.

10 DIRECTOR LEVY: But why do we have to break
11 down the details of antenna or whatever else we
12 are doing? Why don't we just -- general, we do
13 not charge a member village or its subsidiary
14 police force or whoever it is for services that
15 the Authority will provide permanent duties,
16 something of that sense, so it covers antennas,
17 it covers everything else.

18 MR. LIMMER: So then you want to change
19 it to: The Authority will not charge any
20 third-party costs to local fire companies,
21 village police departments, and municipalities
22 served by the Authority since those costs would
23 ultimately have to be paid by the Authority's
24 customers.

25 DIRECTOR LEVY: That's fine.

1 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: I have a question:

2 Do we ever do any physical work in connection
3 with this? Or subcontract any physical work?

4 MR. LIMMER: Yeah, you might have the
5 engineers go up with the fire company when they
6 are going to climb the tank.

7 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: I mean physical work.
8 Do we ever do any tie-ins or any of the piping
9 or anything in connection with these?

10 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Ralph, that'll be an issue
11 for the new Great Neck Village Hall coming up.

12 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Going to do a wet cap?

13 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: No, we are
14 going to have a contractor do it, but Ralph
15 brings up a great point: What if the Village
16 wanted a one-inch service? We would do it
17 ourselves. The Authority would have to eat the
18 costs on all the material?

19 MR. LIMMER: No, the material is a
20 third-party cost.

21 DIRECTOR LEVY: No, I don't expect you
22 to eat the material, but if to review it and
23 there is an application fee to submit the
24 application, I want that fee waived.

25 MR. GRAZIANO: Why can't we call it

1 application and review fees?

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: I wasn't the one who did the
3 verbiage. I'm fine with that.

4 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Steve's language
5 worked. I mean it talked about no third-party,
6 no cost other than third-party. What more do
7 you want to cover?

8 DIRECTOR LEVY: I'm fine with that too.

9 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Then he broke out
10 separately the fire departments which are -- I
11 thought his language was fine.

12 MR. GRAZIANO: It says no cost other than
13 third-parties. Are we a third-party?

14 DIRECTOR LEVY: Third-party would be --

15 MR. LIMMER: Third-party means not Water
16 Authority.

17 MR. GRAZIANO: If we are doing the work, what
18 happens?

19 DIRECTOR LEVY: You'll find materials.

20 MR. LIMMER: You don't get paid for your
21 labor, you get pay for your material.

22 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Or subcontract it out.

23 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Subbed out is third-party
24 cost.

25 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: If it's the kind of

1 thing you think is appropriate, subcontract it
2 out.

3 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yeah. And they will
4 have a bill.

5 MR. LIMMER: Could I make a suggestion?
6 It's not -- nothing is going to happen
7 between now and the next meeting. Let Gail put
8 in the proposed wording to be considered for the
9 next meeting and at the next meeting you'll
10 either adopt or you'll modify it.

11 DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.

12 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Before you adjourn,
13 Michael, I have one comment to save us a little
14 money, especially when I saw 255 pages.

15 I'm perfectly happy to receive the Board
16 package electronically and not by paper at all
17 and I think what we should suggest is send it
18 electronically every month and then ask people
19 if they want the paper copy and we'll cut down a
20 lot on the cost and also the delivery.

21 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: I think it's fine.
22 Personally I think it's fine. What I received
23 this month, I do it electronically.

24 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Even if it's 40 pages,
25 who needs 40 pages we are going to throw away?

1 DIRECTOR WEINBERG: Carol had a question
2 before I leave that I wanted to try and hear a
3 quick answer to?

4 DIRECTOR FRANK: Yes. In regard to the
5 e-Bill, I went on the website to read what we
6 had written about signing up for that, and the
7 one question I had wasn't answered, and that is:
8 When you get an e-bill, will you get a complete
9 breakdown of water usage?

10 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: The e-bill should be a
11 duplicate, effectively of what the printed
12 version is, correct, Greg?

13 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: I believe so.
14 That's why I wanted Judy on.

15 DIRECTOR FRANK: I just wanted to clarify
16 that because it doesn't say that on the website
17 and I think that would be reassuring. People
18 might want to know that before they sign up.

19 MR. LIMMER: Why wouldn't the eBill
20 automatically include the usage? Why would it
21 be different?

22 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: I would expect you are
23 getting a PDF of your bill.

24 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Exactly.

25 DIRECTOR FRANK: That's what everybody would

1 be getting, a PDF of it.

2 MS. RAY: From what I understand.

3 DIRECTOR FRANK: I wanted to clarify that
4 because I have signed up for some things like
5 this and you get the total and you do not get
6 the breakdown.

7 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So Deb, just
8 write out a note to get back to Carol and me
9 tomorrow, please, and I'm pretty sure from when
10 Judy explained it last month if I remember it
11 correctly, it would be a full bill. I don't
12 remember 100%, Carol, we will get back to you.

13 DIRECTOR FRANK: Okay. Thank you.

14 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to adjourn?

15 DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move. [Res. 22-01-10]

16 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Second? And I'm sure we are
17 all in favor.

18 Thank you, all. Good night, all. Thank you
19 for attending.

20 (Time noted: 7:25 P.M.)

21

22

23

24

25